Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/325,278	BJORCK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>	
(1) N. M. Minnifield.	(3)	
(2) Scott D. Locke, 44877.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .	,	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record		
Claims discussed: 14-19, 21		
Prior art documents discussed: of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
MMM muful L INVAMINNIFIELD PRIMARY EXAMINER		18
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 08/325,278

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the arguments, comments and Helleburst Declaration set forth in the After Final filed 4/14/04 were found persuasive, and that the art rejection with reagrd to claims 14-16, 18 and 19 has been withdrawn. Examiner requested an interference sequence search on claimed SEQ ID NO: 1 and 3. Claims 14-19 and 21 are now in condition for allowance, and have been renumbered 1-7 respectively..